

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,606	02/27/2007	Ingo Meirick	4147-144	9780	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 05/11/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH C	ELEBE ROAD, 11TH F	PATEL, MAHENDRA R			
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2617			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/571,606	MEIRICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MAHENDRA PATEL	2617	

	MAHENDRA PATEL	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods: a)							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	ann the time period det left in er	o					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejection	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [I be entered and an e	planation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

Applicant argues that Besset does not disclose at least the following three features recited in claim 1"

- 1) the base station system compares a size of a data packet segment with a size of a next consecutive data packet segment in the buffer,
- 2) the base station system identifies a complete data packet in the buffer based on the comparison; and
- 3) the base station system discards the identified data packet segment from the
- 4) Yuan does not disclose discarding an identified complete data packet from a buffer. In contrast, Yuan merely prevents a complete data packet segment from entering the buffer. As a result, the complete data packet segment cannot be discarded from the buffer because it was never stored in the buffer.
- However (1). Besset teaches updating counter value based on the length of the received packet in (Col 6, lines 26-35 (i.e. for each incoming CPS packet, the Length Indicator field (LI) of the CPS Packet header is read to determine the length of the arriving CPS Packet. the thus determined length of the arriving CPS packet is used to update a buffer occupancy counter which is configured to store at least one amongst; i) the instantaneous number of octets used in the buffer for a given AAL2 connection). In (Col 9, lines 25-26) it teaches whether packet is to be stored or not (i.e. There then follows an algorithm which determines whether this packet is to be stored in the buffer 40 or discarded). This means algorithm is reading packet header to find its size. In (Col 9 lines 26-29] it determines whether packet is discarded or not based on length of the received packet and current filling of the buffer. If packet is not discarded, then it stores in the buffer and counter is updated to include the received packet. From this, it is obvious that Algorithm is comparing size of the previous packet to determine whether it can be stored in the buffer or not. In (Col 8, lines 55-65), it is clearly described that "If the buffer is in a state of congestion (CONG_VAL=OK)--as determined at a previous sampling--the algorithm passes along branch b1, and the value CPS CO+LI+1+3 is compared with the lower threshold". From this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that when buffer is empty and first packet segment is stored in the buffer, then second packet segment will compare with first packet segment (which is stored in the buffer) to find out whether buffer is filled above or below the threshold level. In other words the Algorithm will compare first and second packet segments (i.e. consecutive data packet segments) to find out size of the packet, total size stored in the buffer and congestion level. Therefore Besset teaches first argument, namely, said base station system comparing a size of a data packet segment with a size of a next consecutive data packet segment in said buffer.
- (2) Besset teaches a Counter and building of complete packet in (Col 2, lines 66-67) (e.g. Thus, in the case of a segmentation, the UUI field has the value "26" to indicate receipt of an end of SSSAR SDU (150 octats in the example), and the value "27" to indicate that more data follows. (Col 3, lines 3-5) (E.g. In other words, all the while UUI field yields "27", the received packets are incomplete and as soon as it yields "26", the packets can be reassembled (l.e. indication of the completed data packet) and will bleong to were SSSAR SDU). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that when buffer is empty and first packet segment is stored, when second packet arrives, algorithm will compare with first packet tag the determine congestion level. After measurements and the stored of the complete data packed and the stored of the stored o
- (3) Besset teaches a packet comparison and Packet Discard Algorithm. It is discloses that (CoI 9, lines 32-60) if the higher threshold 42 of the buffer memory 40 is set to leave a sufficient margin before overflow to accept an AAL2 SDU frame of maximum length (For example, Set level so that second packet segment causes overflow). According paragraph (CoI 5, lines 10-20), if second packet segment causes overflow, hen that packet segment is discarded (Co 5, lines 15-20) (e.g. in the case of a first CPS packet of AAL2 service data unit (SDU) frame, it is detected if the buffer means are in a state of congestion, in the case of a congestion, the first CPS packet and all the following CPS packets are discarded up to and including the CPS packet or which it is detected that the UR first CPS packet and all the following CPS packets are discarded up to and including the CPS packet or which it is detected that the UR first GPS packet corresponds to the last CPS packet for the current AAL2 SDU frame), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that when buffer is empty and first packet segment is clored, and if overflow level is set that the second packet is caused overflow, then the algorithm compares two packet segments is clored, and if overflow level is a text that sea condepacket is caused overflow, then the packet segments are discarded. In other words the Algorithm is comparing two packet segments and discarded dientified data packet. Therefore Besset teaches third argument, namely, said base station system discarding said identified complete data packet.
- (4) Yuan teaches a method for segmenting data packets ([Col 1, lines 10-17] (e.g. Cell relay systems, such as asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) systems, transmit data over a network as a plurality of fixed-length cells. The individual transmiss bypically include one or more cells that constitute a portion of variable-length packets used by end systems or applications. Before transmission, a source station segments a packet into one or more cells and then transmits the cells (i.e. A complete data packet is build and transmittled). Yuan also teaches discarding of an identified complete data packet in (O.2, Lines 48-55) (e.g. Systems and methods consistent with the present invention provide a cell discard scheme for an output port within a cell relay switch. The output port either guarantees delivery of all of the cells of a packet or drops all the cells (i.e. acomplete packet) beginning with the first one. The output port deswhether to store an incoming cell based on whether the buffer has sufficient capacity to store all of the cells of the associated packet). In Combination with Besset, Yuan teaches discarding an identified complete data packet from a buffer.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are absard on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In the present response of the instant application, the Applicant's arguments essentially do not traverse the issue(s) as addressed above and/or as rejected in the office final Action. Therefore, in view of the reasons above, the Final Action mailed on 19 April 2010 is maintained.